IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF ALABAMA NORTHERN DIVISION

JERRY LEON DEES, JR.,)	
Plaintiff,)	
Vs.) CASE NO.) 2:07-cv-00306-MHT-CS	:C
HYUNDAI MOTOR MANUFACTURING) 2:07-cv-00300-WH1-CS	
ALABAMA, LLC, and HYUNDAI)	
MOTOR AMERICA, INC.,)	
)	
Defendants.)	

PLAINTIFF'S OBJECTIONS TO DEFENDANTS' WITNESS LIST

Pursuant to the Court's Uniform Scheduling Order (Doc. 19), Plaintiff submits the following objections to the witness list filed by Defendants:

Defendants' Witness	Objections
Brian Roby, Assistant	Plaintiff objects on the grounds that this witness was not
Manager, Plant	timely identified as required by Rule 26(a)(1)(A), nor
Engineering, HMMA	have Defendants offered any "substantial justification" for
	not disclosing him during discovery. His exclusion is
	therefore proper. See Heidtman v. County of El Paso, 171
	F.3d 1038, 1040 (5 th Cir. 1999) (non-disclosing party
	offered no explanation for failure to disclose; exclusion
	proper). Plaintiff had no opportunity to discover witness'
	testimony. Witness should therefore be excluded because
	Plaintiff would be prejudiced by his testimony under FRE
	403.
Andy Dishman, Assistant	Plaintiff objects on the grounds that this witness was not
Manager, Safety, HMMA	timely identified as required by Rule 26(a)(1)(A), nor
	have Defendants offered any "substantial justification" for
	not disclosing him during discovery. His exclusion is
	therefore proper. See Heidtman v. County of El Paso, 171
	F.3d 1038, 1040 (5 th Cir. 1999) (non-disclosing party
	offered no explanation for failure to disclose; exclusion
	proper). Plaintiff had no opportunity to discover witness'
	testimony. Witness should therefore be excluded because

	T
	Plaintiff would be prejudiced by his testimony under FRE
	403.
Paul Dunbar, Specialist,	Plaintiff objects on the grounds that this witness was not
Public Relations, HMMA	timely identified as required by Rule 26(a)(1)(A), nor
	have Defendants offered any "substantial justification" for
	not disclosing him during discovery. His exclusion is
	therefore proper. See Heidtman v. County of El Paso, 171
	F.3d 1038, 1040 (5 th Cir. 1999) (non-disclosing party
	offered no explanation for failure to disclose; exclusion
	proper). Plaintiff had no opportunity to discover witness'
	testimony. Witness should therefore be excluded because
	Plaintiff would be prejudiced by his testimony under FRE
	403.
Tim Haseltine, Assistant	Plaintiff objects on the grounds that this witness was not
Manager, Public	timely identified as required by Rule 26(a)(1)(A), nor
Relations, HMMA	have Defendants offered any "substantial justification" for
Relations, Thylivir	not disclosing him during discovery. His exclusion is
	therefore proper. See Heidtman v. County of El Paso, 171
	F.3d 1038, 1040 (5 th Cir. 1999) (non-disclosing party
	offered no explanation for failure to disclose; exclusion
	proper). Plaintiff had no opportunity to discover witness'
	testimony. Witness should therefore be excluded because
	Plaintiff would be prejudiced by his testimony under FRE
	403.
Sheron Rose, Govt.	Plaintiff objects on the grounds that this witness was not
Affairs/Diversity Mgr.,	timely identified as required by Rule 26(a)(1)(A), nor
HMMA	have Defendants offered any "substantial justification" for
IIIVIIVIA	not disclosing her during discovery. Her exclusion is
	therefore proper. See Heidtman v. County of El Paso, 171
	F.3d 1038, 1040 (5 th Cir. 1999) (non-disclosing party
	offered no explanation for failure to disclose; exclusion
	proper). Plaintiff had no opportunity to discover witness'
	testimony. Witness should therefore be excluded because
	Plaintiff would be prejudiced by her testimony under FRE
	403.

Dated: March 31, 2008

Respectfully submitted,

s/ Jeffrey R. Sport_ Jeffrey R. Sport (SPORJ5390)

OF COUNSEL:

KILBORN, ROEBUCK & McDONALD 1810 Old Government Street Post Office Box 66710 Mobile, Alabama 36660 Telephone: (251) 479-9010

Fax: (251) 479-6747

E-mail: jeff.sport@sportlaw.us

Attorney for Plaintiff

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I do hereby certify that I have on this 31st day of March, 2008, electronically filed the foregoing pleading with the Clerk of Court using the CM/ECF system which will send notification of such filing to:

Timothy A. Palmer, Esq. J. Trent Scofield, Esq. T. Scott Kelly, Esq. OGLETREE, DEAKINS, NASH, SMOAK & STEWART, P.C. One Federal Place, Suite 1000 1819 Fifth Avenue North Birmingham, AL 35203-2118

Matthew K. Johnson, Esq. OGLETREE, DEAKINS, NASH, SMOAK & STEWART, P.C. P.O. Box 2757 Greenville, SC 29602

> s/ Jeffrey R. Sport_ COUNSEL